



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/537,506	37,506 03/29/2000		Judith Continelli	10655.9400	6236
	7590	06/04/2002			
Snell & Wil		P	EXAMINER		
One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202			BACKER, FIRMIN		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3621	
				DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/537,506	CONTINELLI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Firmin Backer	3621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 h	March 2000 .						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	s alastian raquiroment						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep	<u></u>	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) ' Art Unit: 3621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to a letter for patent filed on March 29<sup>th</sup>, 2000 in which claims 1-37 are presented for examination. Claims 1-37 are pending in the letter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the handling" in paragraph 1 line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3621

5. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Noblett Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No 5,432,326 (applicant IDS).

- 6. As per claim 1, Noblett Jr. et al teach a system for facilitating the handling of a transactional dispute (dispute regarding transaction) comprising: at least one access terminal (back office computer, 57) having a display and an input means (see fig 2); a central server (host computer, 40) having an Internet web site stored thereon, the display capable of displaying a plurality of dispute handling forms retrieved from the server and the web site (see fig 2) a communication channel (LAN, 58a, 58b) linking the terminal to the web site and the server to the web site; and a scanner (embosed data reader, 38), coupled to the terminal, the scanner able to transform an image into computer readable format for transmission across the communication channel (see fig 10, and fig 15, also, column 4 lines 24-55)).
- 7. As per claims 2, 4, Noblett Jr. et al teach a system comprising a first access terminal for a dispute initiator/issuer and a second access terminal for a dispute responder/acquier ((see fig 1, 2).
- 8. As per claims 3, 5, Noblett Jr. et al teach a system comprising a form selection for a dispute initiator and a form selection for a dispute responder wherein the form selection for the initiator comprises a Retrieval Request, a First Chargeback and a Final Chargeback; and the form selection for the responder comprises a Fulfillment and a Second Presentment ((see fig 10, and fig 15, also, column 4 lines 24-55).

Art Unit: 3621

- 9. As per claim 6, Noblett Jr. et al teach a system comprising a third access terminal for administration and a fourth access terminal for finance ((see fig 1, 2).
- 10. As per claim 7, Noblett Jr. et al teach a method for handling a dispute between an Issuer (card issuing, 18a) and an Acquirer (merchant, 16) via an Internet connection (network, fig 12) to which are coupled at least one access terminal (host computer 40, back office computer 57, card issuer computer 19a) (see fig1 1, 2), the method comprising accessing an Internet web site from the terminal, the web site linked to a server comprising a plurality of dispute handling forms stored thereon choosing one of the dispute handling forms, responding to the requested field information on the form, sending the form over the Internet connection to be routed by the server to a disputed party; and repeating steps (a)-(d) for both the Issuer and the Acquirer (see fig 10, and fig 15, also, column 4 lines 24-55).
- 11. As per claim 8, Noblett Jr. et al teach a method further comprising at least one document scanning device and scanning at the document scanning device at least one supporting document; and sending the supporting document along with the form over the Internet connection to be routed by the server to a disputed party ((see fig 1, 2).
- 12. As per claim 9, Noblett Jr. et al teach a method wherein the scanning comprises one to five supporting documents ((see fig 1, 2).
- 13. As per claim 10, Noblett Jr. et al teach a method further comprising reviewing a report comprising the form by financial operations; and transferring liability in response to the report to

Art Unit: 3621

at least one of the Issuer from Acquirer and the Acquirer from the Issuer (see fig 10, and fig 15, also, column 4 lines 24-55)..

- 14. As per claim 11, Noblett Jr. et al teach a method of requesting a User ID from administrative operations; and receiving the User ID and a password (see fig 10, and fig 15, also, column 4 lines 24-55).
- 15. As per claim 12, Noblett Jr. et al teach a method wherein the choosing one of the dispute handling forms comprises choosing from a form selection for the Issuer and a form selection for the Acquirier (see fig 10, and fig 15, also, column 4 lines 24-55).
- 16. As per claim 13, Noblett Jr. et al teach a method wherein the form selection for the issuer comprises a Retrieval Request, a First Chargeback and a Final Chargeback; and the form selection for the Acquirer comprises a Fulfillment and a Second Presentment (see fig 10, and fig 15, also, column 4 lines 24-55).
- 17. As per claim 14, Noblett Jr. et al teach a method wherein the sending step comprises one of viewing and downloading by the disputed party (see fig 10, and fig 15, also, column 4 lines 24-55).
- 18. As per claim 15, Noblett Jr. et al teach a method executed in a network computer system for facilitating handling of transactional disputes, the network computer system having a server and at least one access terminal ((see fig 1, 2), comprising accepting at the server a User ID and

Art Unit: 3621

password from a first user at a first access terminal (see column 14 lines 42-54), retrieving from the server a set of dispute handling forms which coincide with the User ID (see column 14 lines 42-54), displaying the set of first user forms at the first access terminal ((see fig 1, 2), receiving input entered on one of the first user forms at the first access terminal (see fig 10, and fig 15, also, column 4 lines 24-55)), transmitting within the network the one of the first user forms to a second user in dispute with the first user (fig 26, column 54 line 50-55 line 44), notifying the second user at a second access terminal of the one of the first user forms (see fig 10, and fig 15, also, column 4 lines 24-55), accepting at the server a User ID and password from the second user at the second access terminal (see column 14 lines 42-54), retrieving from the server a set of dispute handling forms which coincide with the second user User ID ((see fig 1, 2), displaying the set of second user forms at the second access terminal (see column 14 lines 42-54) receiving input entered on one of the second user forms at the second access terminal; transmitting within the network the one of the second user forms to the first user (fig 26, column 54 line 50-55 line 44), notifying the first user at the first access terminal of the one of the second user forms; and repeating steps (a)-(I) until all needed dispute handling forms have been transmitted (fig 26, column 54 line 50- 55 line 44).

19. As per claims 16-37, they contain inventive concepts that are identical to claims 1-15. Therefore, they are rejected by the same rationale.

Art Unit: 3621

#### Conclusion

20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (see form 892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammel can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Firmin Backer May 24, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600